KLOE Measurement of the $\sigma_{\pi\pi(\gamma)}$ cross section and the $\pi^+\pi^-$ contribution to the muon anomaly

Federico Nguyen

INFN Roma TRE

for the KLOE Collaboration

Krakow - July, 17th 2009

Outline

- Introduction: DAΦNE and KLOE
- Measurement of the $\sigma_{\pi\pi(\gamma)}$ using ISR events with γ at small angle
- Determination of the $\pi^+\pi^-$ contribution to a_μ
- Comparisons with recent e^+e^- experiments
- Outlook: $\sigma_{\pi\pi(\gamma)}$ using ISR events with large angle γ
- Conclusions



DAΦNE and KLOE

$L_{peak} = 1.3 \times 10^{32}\ cm^{-2}\ s^{-1}$

- ✓ $e^+ e^-$ collide at M_Φ: $\sqrt{s} \sim 1.019$ GeV
- ✓ angle btw the beams @ IP ~ 2 × 12.5 mrad
- ✓ residual momentum in LAB ~ 13 MeV



2001-05: ~ 2.5 fb^{-1} at M_ϕ

2006: ~ 250 pb^{-1} at $\sqrt{s}$=1 GeV + $\sqrt{s}$ scan

Calorimeter, EmC:

Pb/Scint. Fiber, 4880 PMTs

98% of solid angle

$\sigma_E / E = 0.057/\sqrt{E\ (GeV)}$

$\sigma_t = 57\ ps / \sqrt{E\ (GeV)} \oplus 50\ ps$

$\sigma_\perp = 1.3\ cm$



Drift Chamber, DC:

4 m Ø × 3.3 m length

90% He, 10% $i\text{-}C_4H_{10}$

12582 stereo sense wires

$\sigma_p / p = 0.4\%$ for $\theta > 45°$

$\sigma_{r\varphi} = 0.150\ mm$, $\sigma_z = 2\ mm$

$\sigma(m_{\pi\pi}) \sim 1\ MeV$

both detectors w/ trigger decision

The cross section $\sigma_{e+e-\to\pi+\pi-}$ from ISR events

at a fixed $\sqrt{s}$, studying *Initial State Radiation* events, $\sigma_{e+\,e-\,\to\,\pi+\,\pi-}(s)$ is extracted



ISR only: $$M^2_{\pi\pi}\frac{d\sigma_{e^+e^-\to\pi^+\pi^-\gamma}}{dM^2_{\pi\pi}} = \sigma_{e^+e^-\to\pi^+\pi^-}(M^2_{\pi\pi})\cdot H(M^2_{\pi\pi},\theta_{min})$$

➔ **EVA + PHOKHARA MC Generator**

(S. Binner, J.H. Kühn, K. Melnikov, PLB459,1999)

(H.Czyż, A.Grzelińska, J.H Kühn, G.Rodrigo, EPJC27,2003)

main advantage:

no point-to-point errors on beam energy and luminosity

main requirement:

precise knowledge of ISR radiative corrections

1st KLOE publication (based on 140 pb^{-1})

A. Aloisio et al., PLB606(2005)12 → KLOE05

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\pi\pi\gamma}}{dM^2_{\pi\pi}} = \frac{N^{obs} - N^{bkg}}{\Delta M^2_{\pi\pi}}\cdot\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{sel}}\cdot\frac{1}{L}$$

Selection of $\pi\pi\gamma$ events at small angle

PUBLISHED:
PLB670(2009)285

a) 2 tracks with $50^o < \theta_{track} < 130^o$

b) small angle γ ($\theta_{\pi\pi} < 15^o$)

kinematics: $\vec{p}_\gamma = \vec{p}_{miss} = -(\vec{p}_+ + \vec{p}_-)$

- ✓ high statistics for ISR ($\sim \theta^{-2}$)
- ✓ low relative FSR contribution
- ✓ suppressed $\phi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ wrt the signal





statistics: 242pb^{-1}

3.4 Million Events

Selection of $\pi\pi\gamma$ events: suppress background

suppress

$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\gamma$

π/e separation performed with particle ID based on the calorimeter



remnant

$e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ & $\phi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

cut and estimated as a function of $M_{\pi\pi}^2$



$\mathbf{m_{trk}}$, defined under the hypothesis of 2 equal mass particles and 1 γ in the final state

Background estimates

Main backgrounds obtained from MC shapes fitted to data distribution in M_{Trk}

$0.60 < M_{\pi\pi}^2 < 0.62$ GeV^2 χ^2/ndf = 158/180



Data
Σ MC
ππγ μμγ
ππππ eeγ

$0.84 < M_{\pi\pi}^2 < 0.86$ GeV^2 χ^2/ndf = 179/258



Bkg (μμγ, ππππ and eeγ) fraction



Bkg errors are due to :

- Uncertainty on e+e- → e+e-$\pi^+\pi^-$ contribution

EKHARA: PLB634(2006)493



- Error on normalization parameters obtained from the fit

Data/MC corrections for the π track

corrections obtained from $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ (large statistics, momentum limited) and $\pi\pi\gamma$ data samples (after kin. χ^2) compatible within 0.3% → syst. error



$C_{3\pi} = 0.993 \pm 0.001$





$C_{\pi\pi\gamma} = 0.995 \pm 0.002$



Luminosity

KLOE measures *L* with Bhabha scattering

$55° < \theta < 125°$

acollinearity < 9°

p ≥ 400 MeV

$$\int \mathcal{L}\,dt = \frac{N_{obs} - N_{bkg}}{\sigma_{eff}}$$

F. Ambrosino et al. (KLOE Coll.)
Eur.Phys.J.C47:589-596,2006

generator used for σ_{eff}
BABAYAGA (Pavia group)
C. M.C. Calame et al., NPB758 (2006) 22

new version (**BABAYAGA@NLO**) gives much better accuracy: 0.1%



Systematics on Luminosity	
Theory	0.1 %
Experiment	0.3 %
TOTAL 0.1 % th ⊕ 0.3% exp = 0.3%	

Radiative corrections

- **ISR-Process calculated at NLO-level**
  PHOKHARA generator (Czyż, Kühn et.al)
  Precision: 0.5%

$$M^2_{\pi\pi}\frac{d\sigma_{\pi\pi\gamma}}{dM^2_{\pi\pi}} = \sigma_{\pi\pi}(s)\times \mathbf{H(s)}$$



i) Bare Cross Section
divide by Vacuum Polarisation
➔ from F. Jegerlehner

ii) FSR - Corrections
cross section $\sigma_{\pi\pi}$ must be inclusive for FSR



FSR corrections taken into account
in the efficiency evaluation and
in $\mathbf{M^2_{\pi\pi} \rightarrow M^2_{\gamma^*}}$



Systematic uncertainties

Systematic errors on $a_\mu^{\pi\pi}$:

Reconstruction Filter	negligible
Background	0.3%
M_{trk} cuts	0.2%
π/e ID and TCA	negligible
Tracking	0.3%
Hardware Trigger	0.1%
Acceptance ($\theta_{\pi\pi}$)	0.1%
Acceptance (θ_π)	negligible
Unfolding	negligible
Software Trigger	0.1%
$\sqrt{s}$ dependence of H	0.2%
Luminosity($0.1_{th} \oplus 0.3_{exp}$)%	0.3%

experimental fractional error on a_μ = 0.6 %

FSR resummation	0.3%
Radiator H	0.5%
Vacuum polarization	0.1%

theoretical fractional error on a_μ = 0.6 %



$\sigma_{\pi\pi}$, undressed from VP, inclusive for FSR as function of $(M_{\gamma^*})^2$

Recent comparisons on $a_\mu^{\pi\pi}$



$$a_\mu^{\pi\pi} = \frac{1}{4\pi^3} \int_{0.35\mathrm{GeV}^2}^{0.95\mathrm{GeV}^2} ds\, \sigma(e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-)\, K(s)$$

average value in 10^{-10} units:

359.2 ± 2.1 with $\chi^2/\mathrm{dof} = 1.24/2$

confidence level of 54%

even at the largest difference, minor impact on $a_\mu^{\pi\pi}$



e⁺e⁻ experiments are consistent

Federico Nguyen
17-07-2009

Present situation on a_μ



KLOE strengthens the discrepancy ~ 3.4 σ between the SM prediction and the BNL measurements

NEW: selection of ISR γ at large angle

- ✓ independent complementary analysis
- ✓ threshold region $(2m_\pi)^2$ accessible
- ✓ γ_{ISR} photon detected (4-momentum constraints)

- ✓ background from ϕ decays, $\phi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ & $\phi \to f_0(980)\gamma \to \pi\pi\gamma$ suppressed using data taken at $\sqrt{s}$ = 1 GeV, off the ϕ peak

detection of 2 tracks and at least 1 γ (E > 50 MeV)



statistics: 233 pb^{-1}
650 000 Events



Control of backgrounds: A_{FB}



A(ISR) is C-odd

$C_{\pi\pi} = -1$

A(FSR), A(Sγ), A(ρπ) are C-even





A_{FB} more sensitive than dσ/dM

MC based on
PHOKHARA: Phys.Lett.B611(2005)116
FASTERD: arXiv:0901.4440 [hep-ph]

accurate control of same final state interfering backgrounds



Conclusions

✓ we presented a new measurement of $\sigma_{\pi\pi(\gamma)}$ and of the $\pi^+\pi^-$ contribution to $a_\mu^{\pi\pi}$ in the range [0.35, 0.95] GeV^2 with 0.9% accuracy [PLB670 (2009) 285]

✓ this result is in good agreement with the CMD-2 and SND recent results, and it strengthens the difference between BNL measurement and SM prediction

✓ an independent analysis with γ detected at large angle is very close to be finalized (selection cuts established and main corrections evaluated), preliminary data-MC comparison shows excellent agreement

✓ we plan to determine the $\pi^+\pi^-$ contribution to $a_\mu^{\pi\pi}$, from ratio of $\pi\pi\gamma$ to $\mu\mu\gamma$ events, that allows an independent check of the radiator function and cancellation of some systematic effects



Comparisons on F_π

$$\sigma_{\pi\pi} = \frac{\pi\alpha^2\beta_\pi^3}{3s}|F_\pi|^2$$







good agreement below and on the ρ peak among different e^+e^- experiments

Trigger corrections



average value = 0.9987±0.0002

the main source (hardware veto of cosmic rays) of inefficiency in the 2005 result has been removed



trigger efficiency: fractional error given by relative difference of 2 independent methods

from data → *0.1%*

Geometrical acceptance for the γ

we study the impact of varying the 15° cut on $\theta_{\pi\pi}$ in slices of $M^2_{\pi\pi}$

the data/MC spectrum variation is linear as a function of the cut, so the excursion at ± 1 degree is taken as systematic error





K LOng Experiment: resolutions









Electron/pion identification

π/e separation is performed using a particle ID function based on time and quantity and shape of the energy released in the calorimeter



time of flight: for low p values $\beta_\pi \neq \beta_e \sim 1$